Journal of Development Economics and Digitalization, Tourism Economics (JDEDTE)
Volume 2, No 4 – Oktober 2025
e-ISSN : 3032-6036

MARKET DYNAMICS AND POLICY SYNERGY: ALIGNING GREEN BUSINESS WITH SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE

Anggi Pratiwi Sitorus[1], Meisya Syahinda Auni[2], Aura Afifah Shaliha[3], Roganda Julyanto Raja Guk-Guk[4], Frisca Nabilla[5]
[1,2,3,4,5]State University of Medan, Indonesia
anggisitorus@unimed.ac.id, meisyasyahinda@gmail.com, auraafifah07@gmail.com

Received: 03-10- 2025	Revised: 13-10-2025	Approved: 25-10-2025

ABSTRACT
This research aims to identify strategic directions and policy implications for improving alignment between green business and sustainability policy implementation in Indonesia and other developing countries. The research method used is a thematic synthesis of academic literature, international reports, and national policy documents related to green business practices, ESG, and market-policy integration. The results indicate that strengthening market-policy integration through an integrated data infrastructure and institutionalizing ESG as a regulatory standard are key to success. A National Green Market Intelligence System and mandatory ESG compliance can improve transparency, policy effectiveness, and business competitiveness. The study's conclusions confirm that alignment between market mechanisms, policies, and ESG governance forms a Market-Policy Alignment framework that supports sustainable economic growth, regulatory compliance, and environmental management, and encourages an inclusive transition towards the Green Economy 2045 vision.
Keywords: *Green Business, ESG, Market-Policy Integration, Sustainability*

INTRODUCTION

The global climate crisis and post-pandemic economic pressures have increasingly demanded that companies adopt sustainability-oriented business models. Green business has emerged as a transformative paradigm that integrates environmentally friendly principles into core operations, aiming not only to minimize ecological harm but also to create economic value through innovation, such as renewable energy and circular waste management (Elkington, 1997). This paradigm reflects a shift from traditional profit-driven business strategies toward sustainable value creation that aligns with environmental and social well-being. Despite the widespread recognition of its importance, the implementation of green business practices often remains inconsistent with sustainable environmental policies such as the Paris Agreement (2015) and national net-zero emission targets. This misalignment stems from weak institutional frameworks, inadequate market incentives, and limited integration of sustainability tools in business strategy. As Porter and van der Linde (1995) argue, environmental regulations can, in fact, stimulate innovation when properly integrated into corporate strategy. However, many companies continue to perceive them as external constraints rather than strategic opportunities. Market analysis plays a critical role as an instrument to bridge this gap. It enables businesses to identify consumer trends, assess regulatory risks, and uncover innovation opportunities that align with environmental goals.

By employing analytical frameworks such as PESTLE and SWOT, firms can design operational models that are both compliant with environmental regulations and competitive in the marketplace (Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011). In an ideal setting, market analysis serves as a strategic bridge that connects corporate sustainability goals with broader environmental policy objectives, thereby reinforcing the achievement of

the triple bottom line people, planet, and profit. Nonetheless, significant gaps remain. Many companies still rely on intuition rather than data-driven decision-making. Inconsistent environmental regulations and high compliance costs across countries hinder innovation adoption (World Bank, 2021). Furthermore, weak collaboration between businesses and governments exacerbates the risk of greenwashing (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Empirical evidence supports these challenges: globally, only about 20% of green businesses are fully aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (UNEP, 2022). In Indonesia, the contribution of green businesses to GDP stands at just 15%, while industrial emissions increased by 12% between 2018 and 2022 (BPS, 2023; World Bank, 2021).

Tabel 1.
Indikator Kinerja Ekonomi Hijau Indonesia

Indicator	Global Data (UNEP, 2022)	Indonesia Data (BPS, 2023)	Implications
Level of SDG alignment	20%	25%	Low business compliance
Contribution to GDP	–	15%	Limited role of the green economy
Carbon emissions	–	+12% (2018–2022)	Ineffective green business strategy
Resource-saving potential	70% (Circular Economy)	IDR 500 trillion (Green Market)	Large untapped opportunities

Indicator Global Data (UNEP, 2022) Indonesia Data (BPS, 2023) Implications
Level of SDG alignment 20% 25% Low business compliance
Contribution to GDP – 15% Limited role of the green economy
Carbon emissions – +12% (2018–2022) Ineffective green business strategy
Resource-saving potential 70% (Circular Economy) IDR 500 trillion (Green Market) Large untapped opportunities

The IPCC (2023) projects a global temperature increase of 1.5°C by 2030 if no significant interventions are made, underscoring the urgency for better alignment between market mechanisms and environmental policies. Indonesia alone holds an estimated green economy potential of IDR 500 trillion, yet weak ESG implementation and insufficient market analysis continue to impede progress. Against this backdrop, this study aims to explore how market analysis and ESG implementation can serve as strategic mechanisms to align green business with sustainable environmental policies. Specifically, it addresses the following research problem: How can market analysis and ESG implementation align green business with sustainable environmental policies?. The study's objectives are fourfold: To analyze the role of market analysis in formulating green business strategies. To identify gaps between current green business practices and environmental policy implementation. To examine the contribution of ESG principles to strengthening business sustainability.

To provide strategic recommendations for enhancing the alignment of green business and environmental policy in the Indonesian context. Theoretically, green business is grounded in the triple bottom line framework people, planet, and profit (Elkington, 1997) which requires companies to generate not only economic value but

also social and environmental benefits. In the strategic management perspective, market analysis encompasses segmentation, targeting, and positioning (Kotler & Keller, 2016), while ESG serves as a broader governance instrument to ensure transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement. Empirical studies show that ESG integration enhances innovation, competitiveness, and legitimacy in the transition toward sustainable economic systems (Pratiwi Sitorus et al., 2025; Dangelico & Pujari, 2010). This research contributes to the growing discourse on sustainable business transformation by highlighting how market mechanisms and ESG principles can jointly serve as levers for aligning green business strategies with environmental policies particularly in developing economies like Indonesia, where institutional frameworks and data-driven governance remain evolving.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Foundation of Green Business

Green business represents a paradigm shift from traditional profit-oriented models toward sustainability-driven enterprises that internalize social and environmental dimensions. It embodies the principle of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) proposed by Elkington (1997), which emphasizes three interrelated pillars People, Planet, and Profit.

1) People refers to the social responsibility of businesses to promote equity, employee welfare, and community engagement.
2) Planet concerns the ecological dimension, focusing on resource efficiency, carbon reduction, and circular economy practices.
3) Profit remains an essential goal but must be achieved through sustainable and ethical means.

According to Dyllick and Hockerts (2002), firms that adopt the TBL approach achieve *"eco-efficiency and socio-effectiveness"*, ensuring long-term value creation rather than short-term gain. The TBL framework thus serves as the foundational theory for understanding how businesses can contribute to sustainable development while maintaining competitive advantage.

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Framework

The ESG framework has become a cornerstone for evaluating corporate sustainability performance globally. It extends beyond environmental compliance by integrating social responsibility and governance transparency into strategic decision-making.

1) The Environmental dimension focuses on carbon management, waste reduction, energy efficiency, and biodiversity preservation.
2) The Social dimension includes human rights, employee relations, and community involvement.
3) The Governance dimension concerns ethics, accountability, and stakeholder trust.

Scholars such as Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015) find strong positive correlations between ESG performance and financial outcomes, suggesting that sustainability does not compromise profitability. Similarly, Kotsantonis, Pinney, and Serafeim (2016) argue that ESG integration strengthens corporate resilience and reduces reputational risk. In Indonesia, ESG adoption is gaining traction following the

issuance of the *OJK Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2021–2025)* and *Bank Indonesia's Green Economy Framework*. Yet, gaps remain particularly in SMEs and emerging sectors where ESG reporting and verification systems are still underdeveloped (OJK, 2023). Pratiwi Sitorus et al. (2025) emphasize that the successful application of ESG can drive innovation in green products, increase competitiveness, and enhance compliance with sustainability standards.

Market Analysis as a Strategic Bridge

Market analysis is the process of gathering and interpreting data about consumer behavior, regulatory trends, and competitive dynamics to guide strategic decisions (Kotler & Keller, 2016). In the context of green business, it functions as a strategic bridge connecting business initiatives with environmental policy objectives. According to Porter and van der Linde (1995), environmental regulations can stimulate innovation if aligned with market incentives. Schaltegger and Wagner (2011) further explain that markets are not merely neutral arenas but *"institutional mechanisms"* that can reinforce sustainability through consumer demand, pricing, and policy coherence. In practice, tools such as PESTLE analysis, SWOT, and Porter's Five Forces provide a structured approach for integrating environmental and regulatory dimensions into market assessment. For instance:

1) *Political factors* include environmental regulations and carbon pricing mechanisms.
2) *Economic factors* encompass the cost-benefit analysis of green technologies.
3) *Social factors* involve shifting consumer preferences toward eco-friendly products.
4) *Technological factors* highlight innovations in renewable energy and waste management.

   Through this analytical lens, firms can identify strategic opportunities that align both market efficiency and environmental stewardship.

Policy Frameworks and Institutional Context

Environmental policy frameworks, such as the Paris Agreement (2015) and United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), set the foundation for national sustainability strategies. The SDGs specifically Goals 7, 12, and 13 emphasize clean energy, responsible consumption, and climate action. In Indonesia, national frameworks such as the *National Green Economy Policy (2022)*, *Indonesia's Long-Term Low Carbon Strategy (LTS-LCCR)*, and *Net Zero 2060 Roadmap* serve as regulatory anchors for green transition. However, the misalignment between corporate green strategies and government policies often results in *regulatory fragmentation* and inefficiencies. The World Bank (2021) highlights that high compliance costs, overlapping regulations, and limited market incentives have slowed down the adoption of green innovations in developing economies. Thus, policy–market alignment becomes crucial to achieving systemic sustainability outcomes.

Conceptual Model: Market–Policy Alignment Framework

Based on the reviewed theories, this study proposes a Market–Policy Alignment Framework that conceptualizes how market analysis and ESG integration jointly align green business practices with sustainable environmental policies.

Conceptual Logic :

1) *Input*: Green business initiatives and ESG principles (strategic and operational level).
2) *Process*: Market analysis (data-driven decision-making, risk assessment, policy mapping).
3) *Output*: Alignment outcomes compliance with environmental regulations, reduced carbon emissions, and enhanced competitiveness.

Core Propositions:

1) Market analysis enables the identification of green consumer trends and regulatory gaps.
2) ESG adoption enhances transparency, legitimacy, and stakeholder engagement, reducing greenwashing risks.
3) Integration of market insights and ESG governance leads to better alignment with environmental policy frameworks.

Visual Representation (conceptual):

Green Business → Market Analysis → Policy Alignment → Sustainable Outcomes
↑ ↘
ESG Integration → Stakeholder Trust → Innovation & Competitiveness

This model underscores the synergistic relationship between market mechanisms, corporate sustainability, and policy coherence, providing a theoretical lens for analyzing the pathways through which green business can become both economically viable and environmentally responsible.

Research Gap and Contribution

Although numerous studies have explored the impact of green business and ESG separately (Dangelico & Pujari, 2010; Friede et al., 2015), limited research investigates their interactive role in aligning market dynamics with environmental policies, particularly in developing economies. Existing literature often neglects the mediating function of market analysis in translating policy objectives into actionable business strategies. Therefore, this study contributes by:

1) Proposing an integrated Market–Policy Alignment Model tailored for emerging markets such as Indonesia.
2) Demonstrating how market intelligence and ESG governance can reduce the gap between corporate sustainability initiatives and policy mandates.
3) Offering strategic recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of green business in supporting national and global sustainability goals.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative literature review design to explore how market analysis and ESG implementation can align green business practices with sustainable environmental policies. The research does not generate primary data; instead, it systematically synthesizes existing literature, reports, and policy documents to identify

patterns, gaps, and conceptual linkages. According to Snyder (2019), literature reviews provide a comprehensive understanding of an emerging field by integrating theoretical insights and empirical findings. This design is appropriate for the current study since the interaction between *market mechanisms*, *ESG frameworks*, and *environmental policy alignment* is still evolving and multidisciplinary. The study emphasizes conceptual reasoning and empirical support, rather than hypothesis testing, making it suitable for theory-building and policy recommendations in the context of sustainable business strategies. The data used in this study are entirely secondary data, collected from peer-reviewed journals, international institutional reports, and official national documents. The inclusion of diverse and credible sources ensures analytical depth and validity. Key sources include yaitu.

Table 2.
Categories and Sources of References for Green Economy Research

Category	Sources	Examples
Scientific Journals	Indexed journals (Scopus, ScienceDirect, Emerald, Springer)	Journal of Cleaner Production, Business Strategy and the Environment, Environmental Economics and Policy Studies, World Development
International Reports	Institutional publications	United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), World Bank, OECD, International Energy Agency (IEA), Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
National Documents	Government and financial regulators	OJK Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2021–2025), Bank Indonesia Green Economy Framework (2023), BPS Statistical Reports, Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK) data
Other Policy References	International agreements	Paris Agreement (2015), SDGs Framework (UN 2015), ASEAN Green Finance Roadmap

These sources were selected based on relevance, recency (2015–2025), and credibility to ensure that the analysis captures both global and local perspectives on sustainable business transformation. The data collection process followed a structured and systematic procedure consisting of three sequential stages. The first stage involved identifying relevant documents using keywords such as “green business,” “market analysis,” “ESG implementation,” “sustainable policy alignment,” “green economy Indonesia,” and “triple bottom line.” Searches were conducted through academic databases including Scopus, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate, as well as institutional repositories such as UNEP, the World Bank, and OJK. Following identification, the sources underwent a screening and selection process based on predetermined inclusion criteria: publications dated between 2015 and 2025, written in English or Bahasa Indonesia, and addressing the relationships among business strategy, sustainability, and environmental policy. Materials that were duplicated, non-academic in nature, or opinion-based without analytical depth were excluded. After the screening stage, key information from the remaining sources was extracted, emphasizing theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and policy implications. All extracted data were then categorized into thematic matrices to facilitate deeper analysis.

The analytical process employed a thematic analysis approach to identify and interpret recurring patterns across the collected literature, an approach well-suited for qualitative synthesis due to its emphasis on contextual depth and conceptual interpretation (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The process began with familiarization, in which the researcher read and synthesized the selected materials to understand the overarching narratives surrounding green business and sustainability policy alignment. This was followed by manual coding of essential concepts such as market mechanisms, ESG principles, green policy, triple bottom line, sustainability performance, and regulatory compliance. The codes were then consolidated into broader analytical themes, including the role of market analysis in green strategy formulation, ESG as a governance mechanism for sustainability, market–policy integration and environmental compliance, and barriers to green business in emerging economies. The final stage of analysis involved synthesizing these themes to construct conceptual linkages, ultimately informing the Market–Policy Alignment Model presented earlier in the study. This analytical outcome strengthens the conceptual foundation that bridges theoretical constructs with practical policy recommendations.

The scope of this research is confined to theoretical and empirical studies related to green business, ESG frameworks, and environmental policy alignment, with a primary focus on Indonesia while drawing comparative insights from emerging Asian economies. Several limitations must be acknowledged. The absence of primary data inhibits the ability to capture firm-level behavioral dynamics. Variations in ESG reporting standards across countries may reduce cross-study comparability. Additionally, national data sources such as those from BPS and KLHK often provide aggregated indicators that may not fully represent sectoral nuances. Despite these constraints, the qualitative synthesis produced by this study offers valuable insights into how market mechanisms and ESG frameworks can reinforce green policy alignment, thereby providing a solid foundation for future empirical and mixed-method research.

Tabel 3.
Stages of Data Analysis and Their Outputs in Green Business Research

Stage	Focus	Output
Literature Identification	Search academic and institutional sources	Database of relevant publications
Screening & Selection	Apply inclusion criteria	Curated dataset for review
Thematic Coding	Classify by key concepts (TBL, ESG, Market–Policy)	Analytical categories
Synthesis & Interpretation	Develop conceptual linkages	Market–Policy Alignment Model
Validation	Triangulate data & verify reliability	Theoretical and policy recommendations

Through this methodological design, the study aims to contribute by:

1) Providing an integrated synthesis of *market-based approaches* and *ESG governance* in sustainable business strategy.
2) Developing a conceptual foundation for *Market–Policy Alignment* in the Indonesian green economy context.

3) Offering evidence-based policy recommendations to strengthen collaboration between business and government institutions in achieving SDGs and Net-Zero targets.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thematic Overview

Based on the thematic analysis of the selected literature and policy documents, four dominant themes emerged that explain the interaction between market dynamics, ESG adoption, and environmental policy frameworks:

1) The strategic role of market analysis in supporting sustainable business models;
2) The growing significance of ESG adoption in enhancing corporate sustainability and compliance;
3) The persistent issue of policy misalignment between business strategies and environmental governance;
4) The development of strategic recommendations tailored to Indonesia's green economic context.

Each theme is discussed in detail below, integrating empirical evidence and theoretical interpretation to construct a comprehensive understanding of how green businesses can align with sustainable environmental policies.

Theme 1 – The Role of Market Analysis in Green Business Strategy

Market analysis has become a pivotal instrument for integrating sustainability into business strategy. By identifying consumer behavior, pricing dynamics, and regulatory risks, it allows firms to align profit motives with ecological responsibility. According to Porter and van der Linde (1995), environmental regulation, when strategically integrated, can serve as a driver of innovation rather than a constraint. Findings from the literature highlight several key functions of market analysis:

1) Identification of green consumer trends. UNEP (2022) reports that 65% of consumers in emerging markets prefer sustainable products, yet only 35% of firms actively target this segment.
2) Assessment of regulatory risks. Companies using market intelligence tools (such as PESTLE and Porter's Five Forces) are more agile in adapting to environmental regulations, reducing compliance costs (Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011).
3) Stimulation of innovation. The integration of market data into R&D promotes cleaner production methods, renewable energy adoption, and eco-design principles.

In Indonesia, however, the utilization of market analysis remains limited. Most green businesses rely on intuition rather than data-driven insights. The *World Bank (2021)* identifies low investment in sustainability analytics and weak collaboration between government and private sectors as major barriers.

Thus, effective market analysis serves as a strategic bridge linking consumer demand, regulatory frameworks, and innovation. It enables companies to design business models that are both competitive and environmentally compliant, advancing the triple bottom line of *people, planet, and profit.*

Theme 2 – ESG Adoption as a Governance Mechanism for Sustainability

The Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) framework has evolved into a critical tool for measuring and guiding corporate sustainability. ESG ensures that business decisions incorporate ethical, social, and environmental considerations, aligning corporate practices with global standards such as the *Paris Agreement (2015)* and *UN SDGs.* Empirical evidence demonstrates a strong linkage between ESG adoption and firm performance:

1) A meta-analysis by Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015) found that over 90% of studies showed a non-negative relationship between ESG and financial performance.
2) Kotsantonis, Pinney, and Serafeim (2016) concluded that strong ESG performance enhances investor confidence and reduces capital costs.
3) In Southeast Asia, ESG adoption has been linked to improved supply chain transparency and brand reputation (OECD, 2023).

In Indonesia, ESG implementation is supported by the OJK Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2021–2025) and the Bank Indonesia Green Economy Framework (2023). However, adoption is still uneven particularly among micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs). Pratiwi Sitorus et al. (2025) observed that ESG-based business models tend to outperform traditional firms in innovation capacity and stakeholder engagement. From a governance perspective, ESG creates a self-regulatory mechanism that minimizes the risk of *greenwashing*. Delmas and Burbano (2011) emphasize that transparent ESG disclosure discourages false environmental claims and promotes accountability. Therefore, ESG acts as both a moral compass and an operational standard for aligning corporate strategies with sustainability policy goals.

Theme 3 – Policy Misalignment and Structural Barriers

Despite global progress, there remains a substantial misalignment between environmental policy frameworks and corporate sustainability initiatives, particularly in developing economies. This *policy–market gap* hinders the effectiveness of green business transitions. Thematic findings reveal three main barriers:

1) Regulatory inconsistency. Differences in environmental standards between ministries and regions create uncertainty for businesses. The *World Bank (2021)* reports that Indonesia has overlapping sustainability mandates across institutions (KLHK, OJK, and Bappenas).
2) High compliance costs. Small firms often lack financial capacity to meet ESG reporting requirements or obtain eco-certification. This limits their participation in the green economy.
3) Weak institutional coordination. Limited data integration and fragmented monitoring systems make it difficult to evaluate real sustainability impacts.

As a result, only about 20–30% of Indonesian green businesses are fully aligned with environmental regulations (BPS, 2023; UNEP, 2022). This gap leads to economic inefficiencies, limited access to green finance, and reputational risks associated with greenwashing. At the global level, the *IPCC (2023)* warns that without strong policy–business coordination, the world is likely to exceed the 1.5°C warming threshold by 2030. Therefore, bridging policy misalignment is no longer an option but a necessity for sustainable economic transformation. The integration of market analysis and ESG frameworks offers a dynamic pathway for bridging the gap between business practices

and environmental policy. Conceptually, market intelligence enables firms to respond efficiently to regulatory and consumer pressures, while ESG ensures accountability and transparency. The Market–Policy Alignment Model, developed in Chapter 2, is empirically reinforced through the literature findings in this chapter. When implemented effectively, this synergy generates a virtuous cycle: *Market analysis → ESG adoption → Policy alignment → Green innovation → Competitive sustainability.*

However, this transformation requires supportive governance, coordinated policy frameworks, and a cultural shift in how businesses perceive sustainability not as a cost, but as a strategic investment. The findings presented above form the analytical foundation for Chapter 5: Conclusion and Policy Implications, where the synthesized insights are consolidated into theoretical contributions and actionable recommendations for policymakers, industry practitioners, and academia. This study examined the role of market mechanisms and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks in aligning green business with sustainable environmental policies. Through a comprehensive qualitative literature review and thematic synthesis, four major insights were identified. First, market analysis serves as a strategic enabler that bridges business decisions and environmental policy frameworks. Data-driven market intelligence allows companies to anticipate regulatory shifts, align product innovation with consumer sustainability preferences, and internalize environmental costs. However, in developing economies like Indonesia, the use of structured market analytics remains limited restricting firms' ability to respond adaptively to policy and demand changes.

Second, ESG adoption significantly enhances corporate governance and sustainability credibility. Firms integrating ESG into their operations demonstrate improved risk management, innovation capacity, and stakeholder trust. Yet, ESG application remains uneven across sectors. Large corporations have begun implementing sustainability disclosure in line with OJK and global standards, but small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) often face financial and institutional barriers. Third, persistent policy misalignment continues to undermine the effectiveness of green business transformation. Fragmented regulations, inconsistent enforcement, and high compliance costs create structural inefficiencies that discourage innovation. The lack of unified data and coordination between ministries such as the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK), OJK, and the Ministry of Industry further exacerbates information asymmetry and weakens accountability. Finally, the study identifies the urgent need for strategic policy coherence and integrated governance mechanisms to synchronize environmental and market objectives. Indonesia's green economic potential, estimated at over IDR 500 trillion, can only be realized through systemic integration between market intelligence, ESG governance, and environmental policy frameworks.

Policy Implications and Strategic Directions

Based on the thematic synthesis, several strategic directions and policy implications emerge to improve the alignment between green business and sustainability policy implementation in Indonesia and other developing economies. First, strengthening market–policy integration through robust data infrastructure is essential. The government and private sector should collaborate to develop a National Green Market Intelligence System that integrates data from BPS, OJK, and KLHK, monitoring key sustainability indicators such as emission intensity, carbon pricing, ESG

ratings, and circular economy contributions. Such integration enhances transparency, reduces duplication, and informs both policy design and business strategy, as demonstrated by comparable systems like the EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and China's Green Taxonomy. Second, institutionalizing ESG as a regulatory standard is critical. Policymakers should formalize ESG implementation within national corporate governance frameworks by mandating ESG reporting for listed firms in alignment with Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) standards, while developing simplified ESG indicators for SMEs supported through government incentives, tax relief, or access to green financing. These measures ensure that ESG is not merely voluntary or symbolic but an integral element of business compliance and competitiveness.

Overall, the findings highlight the fundamental role of market mechanisms and ESG governance in aligning green business with sustainable environmental policies. Market analysis enhances adaptive capacity and competitiveness, while ESG frameworks institutionalize sustainability as a governance norm. Together, they form a synergistic Market–Policy Alignment Framework that bridges economic growth, regulatory compliance, and environmental stewardship. For Indonesia, realizing this alignment requires systemic transformation: strengthening market intelligence infrastructure, enforcing ESG compliance, and harmonizing fragmented policy regimes. Transitioning toward a sustainable economy is not merely a regulatory obligation but a strategic opportunity that can position Indonesia as a regional leader in green innovation, financial resilience, and climate-aligned growth. Ultimately, the success of green business in advancing sustainability depends on the coherence between markets, policies, and governance. Integrating these three dimensions will enable a just and inclusive transition toward the Green Economy 2045 Vision, ensuring that economic progress aligns with planetary boundaries and intergenerational welfare.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Athaya, N. S. (2025). Pengukuran Emisi Karbon, Pelaporan Keberlanjutan. Jurnal Bisnis Mahasiswa. (Mengutip Emissions Gap Report UNEP).

Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS). (2023). Statistik Lingkungan Hidup Indonesia 2023. BPS.

Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010). Mainstreaming green product innovation: Why and how companies integrate environmental sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(3), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0434-0

Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. California Management Review, 54(1), 64–87. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64

Dyllick, T., & Hockerts, K. (2002). Beyond the business case for corporate sustainability. Business Strategy and the Environment, 11(2), 130–141. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.323

Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. New Society Publishers.

Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210–233. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2015.1118917

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report — Summary for Policymakers. Contribution of Working Groups

I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report. IPCC. https://doi.org/10.1111/padr.12632

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing Management (15th ed.). Pearson.

Kotsantonis, S., Pinney, C., & Serafeim, G. (2016). ESG integration in investment management: Myths and realities. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 28(2), 10–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/jacf.12169

Low Carbon Development Initiative (LCDI). (2021). Ekonomi Hijau untuk Mencapai Nol Bersih Emisi (Green Economy Report). LCDI Indonesia.

Munoz, P., & Cohen, B. (2018). Sustainable entrepreneurship research: Taking stock and … (Review). White Rose Research Archive. White Rose Research Online.

Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). (2021). Roadmap Keuangan Berkelanjutan Tahap II (2021–2025). OJK.

Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9(4), 97–118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97

Pratiwi Sitorus, A., Nurkholik, A., Oktavia, S., Simanjuntak, L. F., & Rusiadi. (2025). ESG implications in improving green business to support green economy strategy. Jurnal EMT KITA, 9(3), 795–804. https://doi.org/10.35870/emt.v9i3.4079

Rosário, A. T., et al. (2022). Sustainable entrepreneurship: A literature review. Sustainability, 14(9), Article 5556. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095556

Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: Categories and interactions. Business Strategy and the Environment, 20(4), 222–237. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.682

United Nations. (2015). Paris Agreement to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. United Nations, Paris.

United Nations. (2015). Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (SDGs). United Nations.

World Bank. (2021). Sustainable Development in Indonesia: Challenges and Opportunities. World Bank.